#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. Chemical: CN-11-4962

diglycolamine salt of dicamba

(2-methoxy-3,6-dichlorobenzoic acid)

2. Test Material: Formulated Product

40% dicamba

3. Study Type: Avian Dietary LC50

Species Tested: Colinus virginianus

4. Study ID: Grimes, J.L. et al. (1986) CN-11-4962

4 lb/gal diglycolamine salt of dicamba: a dietary LC<sub>50</sub> study with the bobwhite. Prepared by Wildlife International, Ltd. Project No. 107-225. EPA Accession No.

263863.

5. Reviewed By: Thomas M. Armitage

Fisheries Biologist

EEB/HED

\_\_\_\_\_

6. Approved By: Raymond W. Matheny

Supervisory Biologist

EEB/HED

Signature

ate: 0-17-1

7. Conclusion:

The study was conducted according to accepted protocol. With a dietary  $LC_{50} > 2248$  ppm, the diglycolamine salt of dicamba may be slightly toxic to bobwhite quail on a subacute dietary basis. The no-observed effect concentration was 2248 ppm.

The study fulfills the Guidelines requirement for an avian dietary  $LC_{50}$  determination.

- 8. Recommendation: N/A.
- 9. Background:

The study, an avian dietary  $LC_{50}$  determination for bobwhite quail using the diglycolamine salt of dicamba, was submitted to fulfill testing requirements for full registration of the herbicide.

10. Discussion of Individual Test: N/A

# 11. <u>Materials and Methods:</u> (Definitive Test)

#### a. Test Animals:

(excerpted from submission)

All bobwhite were 11 days of age and appeared to be in good health at initiation of the study. The birds were obtained from Fritt's Quail Farm. RD # 3, Box 362, Phillipsburg, New Jersey 08865. The birds were hatched on February 17, 1986 and received at Wildlife International Ltd. on February 17, 1986. All birds were pen-reared and phenotypically indistinguishable from wild birds. Birds were assigned to five test groups and five control groups. Each treatment or control group contained ten chicks. The chicks were too immature to differentiate by sex. All birds were acclimated to the caging and facilities from the day of receipt until initiation of the study.

## b. Dose:

Throughout acclimation and testing all test birds were fed a game bird ration formulated to Wildlife International Ltd.'s specifications. The chicks were given a vitamin supplement in their water from the day they were received until the intitiation of the study. Water from a 400 foot well on the Wildlife International Ltd. site and feed were provided ad libitum during acclimation and during the test. The birds received no form of antibiotic medication during acclimation or the study.

#### Diet Preparation

The test diets were prepared by mixing the test substance into the diet with corn oil. The concentration of corn oil in the treated and control diets was 2%. Mixing of the test diet was done with a Hobart (Model Number AS200T) mixer. Diets were prepared and frozen on the day prior to study initiation.

An amount of test diet sufficient to last the five day exposure period was presented to the birds at initiation of the study. The dietary concentrations were not adjusted for purity of the test substance. Therefore all dietary concentrations and the LC50 value are reported as parts per million of the test substance as received. Nominal dietary test concentrations used in this study were 562, 1000, 1780, 3160 and 5620 ppm.

### c. <u>Design</u>:

Groups of ten bobwhite chicks were assigned to each of the treatment and control groups by random draw. The birds used in this study were too immature to differentiate by sex. Birds were acclimated from the day they were received until test initiation. test consisted of a geometric series of five test concentrations and five control groups. Nominal dietary concentrations used in this study were 562, 1000, 1780, 3160 and 5620 parts per million (ppm). The dietary concentrations were established based upon known toxicity data. Each group was fed the appropriate test or control diet for five days. During the exposure period the control group received an amount of the carrier in their diet equivalent to the greatest amount used in the treated diets. Following the five day exposure period all groups were given untreated feed for three days.

# Duration of the Study

The primary phases of this study and their durations were:

- 1. Acclimation 11 days.
- 2. Exposure 5 days.
- 3. Post-exposure observation 3 days.

### Housing and Environmental Conditions

During acclimation and testing, all birds were housed indoors by test group in batteries of thermostatically controlled brooding pens manufactured by Beacon Steel Products Co. (Model No. B735Q). Birds were assigned to pens by random draw. Each pen had floor space that measured approximately 72 x 90 cm. Ceiling height was approximately 23 cm. External walls, ceilings and floors were constructed of galvanized steel wire and sheeting. Each test or control group was assigned a pen that contained ten chicks. Each group of birds was identified by pen number. During the test the temperature in the brooding compartment of the pens was 99° + 2°F (\$D). Average ambient room temperature for this study was 77° + 1°F (SD) with a relative humidity of 52%. The photoperiod (maintained by a time clock) was seventeen hours of light per day during acclimation and throughout the study. The light source was Chroma 50 fluorescent lights which closely approximate noon-day sunlight (noon-day sun - 4870° Kelvin, Chroma 50 - 5000° Kelvin). The birds received approximately twelve footcandles of illumination.

Housing and husbandry practices were based upon the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," 1978 DHEW Publications No. (NIH) 78-23.

#### Observations

During acclimation all birds were observed daily. Birds exhibiting abnormal behavior or physical injury were not used. Following test initiation and continuing until termination, all birds were observed at least twice daily. A record was maintained of all mortality, signs of toxicity, or abnormal behavior.

### Animal Body Weights/Feed Consumption

Body weights by group were measured at initiation of the study, on Day 5, and

at termination of the test on Day 8. Average estimated feed consumption was determined for each test concentration group and control group for the exposure period, Days 0-5, and for the observation period, Days 6-8. Feed consumption was measured accurately, but is presented as an estimate due to the unavoidable wastage by the birds.

### d. Statistics:

The mortality pattern in this study was not conducive to calculating the  $LC_{50}$  value. Therefore, an estimation of the  $LC_{50}$  value was made by a visual inspection of the mortality data.

### 12. Reported Results:

#### Controls

There were no mortalities in the control group. All birds were normal in appearance and behavior throughout the test period.

# CN-11-4962 4 LB/GAL DIGLYCOLAMINE SALT OF DICAMBA

There were no treatment related mortalities or overt signs of toxicity at any concentration tested. There was a single mortality at the 562 ppm concentration on Day 5 that occurred during capture for weight measurements. The mortality was attributed to the stress of capture. At all other times all birds were normal in appearance and behavior. When compared with the controls, there was no effect upon body weight or feed consumption.

# 13. Study Authors' Conclusions/QA Measures:

Bobwhite quail dietary  $LC_{50} > 2248$  ppm.

This study was conducted so as to conform with Good Laboratory Practices as published by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs (FR 48 (230) Nov. 29, 1983, pp. 53946-53969).

## 14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study:

- a. Test Procedures: The procedures followed were in accordance with protocols recommended by the Guidelines. However, formulated product containing only 40% dicamba was used as test material. Therefore, the LC50 is adjusted to account for test substance purity. The only ingredients in the formulation used are dicamba salt and water.
- b. Statistical Analysis: The LC<sub>50</sub> was derived by inspection. Statistical analysis was not required.
- c. Discussion/Results: With an avian dietary LC<sub>50</sub> > 2248 ppm, the diglycolamine salt of dicamba may be slightly toxic to dietary basis.
- d. Adequacy of Study:
  - 1. Classification: Core.
  - 2. Rationale: The study was scientifically sound. The  $LC_{50}$  was adjusted because the formulation tested was 40% dicamba.
  - 3. Reparability: N/A.
- 15. Completion of One-Liner for Study:

One-liner form completed August 20, 1986.

16. CBI Appendix: N/A.

	Number Dead/Number Exposed									
Concentration ppm		1		Day of Study		1 <u>y</u> 5	6		8	
PPIII					***************************************	***************************************		-		
0	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	
0	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	
0	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	
0	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	
0	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	

TABLE 2
CUMULATIVE MORTALITIES OF BOBWHITE

EXPOSED TO CN-11-4962 4 LB/GAL DIGLYCOLAMINE SALT OF DICAMBA FOR FIVE DAYS

	Number Dead/Number Exposed									
Concentration				Day of Study						
ppm	0	eccoderacetoreternologica	2	3	4	5	6		8	
562	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10	1/10	1/10	1/10	
1000	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	
1780	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	
3160	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	
5620	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	

The LC50 value was determined to be greater than 5620 ppm, the highest concentration tested.

#### CONFIDENTIAL

This is proprietary information of Sandoz C op Protection Corporation. The use of this information by others, without the permission of Sandoz or without just compensation paid to Sandoz, to obtain government approval for the sale of competitive products is a violation of this proprietary right. This information should not be disclosed to anyone without the permission of Sandoz Crop Protection Corporation.